Climate Pollution Reduction Grant Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Points
 Overall Project Summary and Approach (10 points) Description of GHG Reduction Measures. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it: 	20

Evaluation Criteria	Points
 2. Impact of GHG Reduction Measures (5 points) Magnitude of GHG Reductions from 2025 through 2030. The application will be evaluated on the magnitude of cumulative GHG emission reductions and the durability of the reductions to be achieved by the proposed GHG reduction measures from 2025 through 2030, using appropriate methodologies and assumptions. Applications will be assessed on the estimated emission reductions that will directly result from EPA CPRG implementation grant funding. (5 points) Magnitude of GHG Reductions from 2025 through 2050. The application will be evaluated on the magnitude of cumulative GHG emission reductions and the durability of the reductions to be achieved by the proposed GHG reduction measures from 2025 through 2050, using appropriate methodologies and assumptions. Applications will be assessed on the estimated emission reductions that will directly result from EPA CPRG implementation grant funding. (5 points) Cost Effectiveness of GHG Reductions. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and the: Cost effectiveness of the GHG reduction measures in terms of the CPRG implementation grant dollars requested divided by cumulative GHG metric ton of CO₂-equivalent emission reductions to be achieved from 2025 through 2030 for the set of measures in the application, and Qualitative narrative explaining any factors that may affect the cost-effectiveness of the GHG Reduction Assumptions. The application will be evaluated on the quality, thoroughness, reasonableness, and comprehensiveness of the GHG emission reductions for the GHG reductions from 2025 through 2030 for the collections for the GHG reductions to be achieved from 2035 through 2030 for the collections for the GHG reductions to be achieved from 2025 through 2030; GHG reductions from 2025 through 2030; GHG reductions from 2025 through 2030; or the collection of measures in the application. 	20

3. Environmental Results – Outputs, Outcomes, and Performance Measures

a. (5 points) Expected Outputs and Outcomes. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it identifies expected outputs and outcomes, as defined in Section I.C for each GHG measure, including listing GHG emission reductions and listing co-pollution (CAP and HAP) emission changes as outcomes, among others.

Evaluation Criteria	Points
 (5 points) Performance Measures and Plan. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and the extent to which it: Provides a clear description of the proposed performance measures to track, measure, and report progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes for each GHG reduction measure, and Describes the plan for effectively tracking and measuring progress in implementing each GHG reduction measure. (5 points) Authorities, Implementation Timeline, and Milestones. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it: Identifies the parties and their roles and responsibilities for implementing each GHG reduction measure; For each measure, describes whether the implementing entity has current authority to carry out the measure and if they do not, articulates the plan and timing for obtaining it during the grant period; and, Provides the detailed implementation timeline for each measure, including key milestones for specific tasks, and discusses the key actions needed to meet the project goals and objectives by the end of the grant period. 	

4. Benefits and Community Engagement	15
 (10 points) Community Benefits. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it provides a comprehensive discussion of expected benefits and any expected disbenefits to communities, particularly those that are disadvantaged, from the proposed GHG reduction measures. (5 points) Community Engagement. The application will be evaluated based on the quality of the response and extent to which it addresses how meaningful engagement was conducted with communities and how their input was incorporated into the application, and how meaningful engagement will be included in implementation of the proposed measures. 	
5. Programmatic Capability and Past Performance	15
 (5 points) Past Performance. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it demonstrates that the applicant has past performance in successfully managing and completing the federal assistance agreements as described in Section IV.B. (5 points) Reporting Requirements. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it: Demonstrates that the applicant has a history of meeting the reporting requirements under the assistance agreements identified in the project narrative as described in Section IV.B, and 	

Evaluation Criteria	Points
• Describes whether the applicant submitted acceptable final technical reports under those agreements; the extent to which the applicant adequately and timely reported on their progress towards achieving the expected outputs and outcomes under those agreements; and, if sufficient progress was not being made, whether the applicant adequately reported the reason for insufficient progress.	
c. (5 points) Staff Expertise. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it demonstrates that the applicant has the requisite organizational experience, including staff expertise and qualifications, staff knowledge, and resources or ability of obtain them, to successfully achieve the goals of the proposed project.	
Note: In evaluating applicants under criteria 5.a and 5.b, EPA will consider the information provided by the applicant and may also consider relevant information from other sources, including agency files and prior/current grantors (e.g., to verify and/or supplement the information supplied by the applicant). If the applicant does not have any relevant or available past performance or reporting information, please indicate this in the application. The application will receive a neutral score for criteria 5.a and 5.b. A neutral score is 2.5 points of 5 possible points for each criterion. If the applicant does not provide any response for these items, they may receive a score of 0 for these criteria.	
6. Budget and Timely Expenditure of Grant Funds	15
 (5 points) Budget Detail. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which the proposed budget provides a detailed breakout by funding type in the proper budget category for each activity for which the applicant is requesting funding. (5 points) Expenditure of Awarded Funds. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which it demonstrates that the approach, procedures, and controls described in the application will ensure that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner. (5 points) Reasonableness of Cost. The application will be evaluated on the quality of the response and extent to which the proposed grant expenditures are reasonable for accomplishing the proposed goals, objectives, and measurable environmental outcomes described in the application. 	
Total	100